Endoscopic electrocautery incision therapy for benign lower gastrointestinal tract anastomotic strictures

Deepanshu Jaina, Naemat Sandhub, Shashideep Singhala

Albert Einstein medical center, Philadelphia, PA; University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, USA

Abstract

Benign anastomotic strictures can occur in up to 22% of patients who undergo colonic or rectal resection. Traditionally, surgery was the preferred method of treatment, but, over time, endoscopic techniques, such as balloon dilation, have become the preferred modality. However, a high stricture recurrence rate of up to 18-20% and the increased risk of perforation due to uncontrolled stretching are its major drawbacks. Endoscopic electrocautery incision (EECI) allows for controlled mucosal incision in predetermined locations of stricture. In this meta-analysis, we have summarized case reports, case series, retrospective studies and prospective studies describing the different endoscopic EECI techniques used for benign lower gastrointestinal tract anastomotic strictures. Our analysis showed that EECI, either alone or in combination with other modalities (e.g. balloon dilation, steroid injection or argon plasma coagulation) is an effective treatment option for both treatment-naïve and refractory short non-inflammatory strictures. The overall success rate for EECI-based therapy for benign colorectal stricture was 98.4%, with a stricture recurrence rate of 6.0%. No major adverse event (bleeding, infection or perforation) was reported. Only minor adverse events (abdominal pain) were reported in 3.8% of the population.

Keywords Benign stricture, colorectal stricture, endoscopic incision therapy

Ann Gastroenterol 2017; 30 (4): 1-13

Introduction

Benign anastomotic strictures can occur in up to 22% of patients who undergo colonic or rectal resection [1]. The incidence of postoperative colorectal strictures has risen over the years, partly as a result of an increase in the use of stapler devices for anastomotic closure [2]. The treatment of anastomotic strictures is imperative, to limit the risk of development of metachronous cancer lesions over time in patients with prior colorectal cancers and to avoid progression towards obstructive symptoms.

Traditionally, surgery was the preferred method of treatment of colorectal strictures. Over time, that has been

^aDivision of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine (Deepanshu Jain); bDepartment of Internal Medicine (Naemat Sandhu), Albert Einstein Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA; ^cDivision of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas (Shashideep Singhal), USA

Conflict of Interest: None

Correspondence to: Shashideep Singhal, MD, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin, MSB 4.234, Houston, Texas, USA 77030, e-mail: sdsinghal@gmail.com

Received 27 February 2017; accepted 16 May 2017; published online 30 May 2017

DOI: https://doi.org/10.20524/aog.2017.0163

replaced by endoscopic techniques, primarily balloon dilations and dilation with Savary-Gilliard bougies, which have been demonstrated as effective, simple, and safe [3]. However, in some cases the stricture can be inaccessible, or it can fail to improve with multiple sessions of dilatation. This technique also has a high stricture recurrence rate, up to 18-20%, and carries an increased risk of perforation as a result of uncontrolled stretching [3].

Endoscopic electrocautery incision (EECI) techniques have been described in the literature as alternative therapies for anastomotic strictures, or for resistant strictures that have failed treatment with dilatation. Electrocautery incision creates a defined mucosal incision at the most resistant location and leads to dilation of the stricture. These techniques have been reported to have a good success rate and a lower risk of adverse events, including perforation.

In this systematic review, we have summarized case reports, case series, retrospective studies and prospective studies describing the different EECI techniques used in colonic, rectal or colorectal benign anastomotic strictures.

Materials and methods

An extensive English language literature search was carried out of studies published until July 2016. PubMed and Google Scholar were used to identify the peer-reviewed original and review articles, based on the following key words: benign stricture, colorectal stricture, endoscopic incision therapy. Only human study subject articles were selected. The references of pertinent studies were searched manually to identify additional relevant studies. The search yielded case reports [4-6], case series [7-9], and prospective studies [10-13]. The stricture etiology, characteristics and location, device details, procedure details, success rate, complications and limitations were reviewed for each study.

Results

Ten original articles were considered appropriate to be included in this review article [4-13]. Three studies described the application of EECI: a case report from Germany [4], a case series from Korea [7] and a retrospective case series from Italy [9]. Three studies described an endoscopic electrocautery radial incision and cutting (RIC) technique: one case report from Brazil [5], a retrospective case series from Japan [8] and a prospective study from Italy [10]. A case report from USA described the use of adjunctive corticosteroids with EECI [6]. Two prospective studies described combination therapy with EECI and balloon dilation: one each from Japan [11] and Germany [12]. Another prospective study from Germany [13] described the role of combination therapy with EECI and Argon plasma coagulation (APC). All studies have been summarized in Table 1.

Discussion

Stricture

Etiology and location

Studies included in this review identified patients with post-surgical anastomotic stricture [4-13]. Post-surgical anastomotic stricture can occur as a result of tissue ischemia, inflammation, hemorrhage at the site of the suture line, anastomotic site leakage or recurrence of disease [17,18]. Anastomotic leakage has been shown to contribute towards increased inflammation, thereby contributing to an increased risk of fibrosis and stenosis. According to a Cochrane Database systemic review, a side-to-side stapled anastomosis has been recommended for ileocolic anastomosis, especially following a right hemicolectomy for colonic adenocarcinomas, because it results in fewer anastomotic leaks [20]. A recent meta-analysis for Crohn's disease showed a higher rate of anastomotic leakage with end-to-end anastomosis compared to side-to-side [21].

The spectrum of surgical resections included those for malignant primary lesions, such as low anterior resection for rectosigmoid colon cancer and rectal cancer, intersphincteric resection for lower rectal cancer, hemicolectomy or subtotal colectomy [5,7-13]. Patients undergoing proctocolectomy with ileal-pouch anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis, sigmoid resection for diverticulitis and volvulus, prophylactic

proctocolectomy for familial adenomatous polyposis were also included by some studies in this review [4,6,9,10]. Stricture formation across all studies included occurred at the site of the surgical anastomosis [4-13].

Criteria, characteristics and timing

Anastomotic strictures following colonic and/or rectal resections can present with symptoms of abdominal pain, cramping, constipation or symptoms of bowel obstruction. Studies included in this review identified strictures after patients presented with symptoms or as a result of follow-up assessments. Various radiologic and/or endoscopic techniques were utilized to identify the stricture. Strictures of <10 mm diameter were commonly seen to have presented with obstructive symptoms. Strictures in the descending colon or rectum may often present clinically once luminal diameter is 20 mm or less.

The majority of the studies defined the stricture as the inability to pass an endoscope across the anastomosis site [6-13]. The size of the endoscope used varied across the studies, hence creating a wide variability in the stricture definition. Few studies used radiologic techniques, such as barium enema, barium swallow or CT scan, to define the stricture [7,10,11,13]. The diagnosis in these studies was followed by endoscopic confirmation of the stricture. Wallstabe et al [4] used digital palpation and pouchoscopy to identify the area of fibrotic tissue, confirmed on biopsy. Truong et al, in a prospective study of 36 patients, defined three grades of stenosis according to the luminal diameter [12]. The severity of stenosis was closely related to the symptomatic presentation. Symptoms of bowel obstruction correlated with a luminal diameter of <5 mm, defined as grade-3 stenosis. The predominant use of stapled sutures in the index surgery was consistent across all three grades of stenosis. Anastomosis closure with a circular stapling device has been linked to an increase in the incidence of stricture to as high as 30% when compared with hand-sewn anastomosis [14-16]. This risk, however, is independent of the stapler diameter.

Benign stenosis of colonic, rectal or colorectal anastomosis can occur from as early as within the first postoperative month to as late as several years after the surgery [19]. Most subjects were diagnosed with a stricture in the first postoperative year [4,7-13]. One case reported by Dieuruf *et al* had a stricture diagnosed 12 years after the surgery [6].

Prior interventions

Only four studies reported the use of alternative techniques to treat the stricture before considering them for EECI therapy. The case reported by Wallstabe *et al* underwent six balloon dilations over 10 weeks after identification of the stricture [4]. Four months after the first dilation, a second series of treatment with balloon dilation, triamcinolone injections, as well as transanal advancement flap anoplasty, was carried out without success. Of the seven cases in the retrospective case

(Contd...)

Complications (N) 1. Perforation: 3. High fever, bleeding: 0 2. Severe >38 °C: 0 1. Postop 4. Severe leak: 0 pain: 0 None None None None recurrence Stricture None None None None None % 28.6 (2/7) Failure rate-(absolute number) 0 0 0 0 intervention-71.4 (5/7) 2. On long-term follow (absolute number) 100 (1/1) 100 (2/2) 100 (1/1) (1/1) Success rate- % up-71.4 (5/7) 1. Early post 1. Median: Follow-up (Range: 18-55 m) 27 m time 14 m 18 m 1. Symptom- Absence of 12 m 9 m Post-surgical-Intersphincteric Endoscopic 1.Symptoms- subjective b) Sigmoidoscope-wide electrocautery evacuation impairment 6 weeks, 6 months and 1. Immediate: Normal a) Symptoms-absence 2. Symptoms- absent improved defecation 3. Digital palpation: 1. Colonoscope- no abdominal bloating 1. Colonoscope- no 1. Colonoscope- no Outcome measure 2. Colonoscope: no corticosteroid of constipation and patent anastomosis 2. Symptoms- none 2. Symptoms- none restenosis (1 week, electrocautery re-stenosis (12 m) electrocautery bowel movements electrocautery patient response, re-stenosis (7 m) incision with 2. On follow up: electrocautery restenosis (1 m) Monthly l year) (2 m) (8 m) Post-surgical- proctocolectomy Endoscopic Endoscopic by colorectal anastomosis- 1/1 incision and Type of intervention Endoscopic cutting (RIC) Endoscopic adjunctive njection incision incision temporary ileostomy, followed radial RIC Post-surgical- LAR for lower ulcerative colitis complicated Post-surgical- LAR for rectal rectal adenocarcinoma with with anastomotic leak- 1/1 Post-surgical- resection of resection (ISR) for lower Post-surgical for sigmoid anterior resection (LAR) anastomosis (IPAA) for for rectosigmoid colon with ileal-pouch anal Post-surgical-low rectal cancer- 4/7 Number Stricture etiology rectal cancer- 3/7 volvulus- 1/1 cancer- 1/2 cancer- 1/2 subjects Case series 7 Case series report report report Study Case Case Case Author/Year/ Germany [4] et al. 2015 Wallstabe et al 2015 *et al* 2001 USA [6] et al 2014 Korea [7] et al 2014 Kawaguti Brazil [5] Location apan [8] Dieruf Kwon Osera

Table 1 Descriptive summary of each individual study

	Complications (N)	1. Perforation: 0 2. Bleeding: 0 3. Abdominal pain: 6/49	
	e,	1.15 (6.6%) 1. 0 0 2. 3. 3. Pa	3/34 (8.8%)
	Failure rate- % Stricture (absolute recurrenc number)	0	(4)
	Success rate- % (absolute number)	Mean- 23 m 1. Early post (Range: intervention- 100 (15/15) 7-63 m) 2. On long-term follow up- 93.3 (14/15)	1. Early post intervention- 100 (34/34) 2. On long-term follow up- 91.2 (31/34)
	Follow-up time		
	Outcome measure	Endoscopic 1. Immediate: Ability to electrocautery pass standard gastroscope incision or colonoscope through followed by stenosis reduction of 2. On follow up: scar tissue a) Symptoms- alleviation with Argon fo obstructive symptoms plasma b) Endoscopy- At coagulation 2 weeks, then 3, 6, 9, 12, (APC) 18 m, and then yearly	
	Type of intervention	Endoscopic electrocautery incision followed by reduction of scar tissue with Argon plasma coagulation (APC)	
	Number Stricture etiology of subjects	Prospective 15 Post-surgical-gastrectomy and esophagojejunostomy, esophagectomy and mediastinal esophagogastrostomy, esophagogastrostomy, esophagoctomy and colon interposition- 15/49	Post-surgical- LAR, left hemicolectomy, subtotal colectomy and ileorectostomy- 34/49
	Number of subjects	re 15	34
inued)	Study Type	Prospectiv	
Table 1 (Continued)	Author/Year/ Study Location Type	Schubert et al 2003 Germany [13]	

series by Osera et al, five underwent bougie dilation and the rest underwent balloon dilation at least more than twice before they were termed as refractory [8]. In the case reported by Dieruf et al, balloon dilation was performed to a maximum diameter of 18 mm, but was followed by recurrence of abdominal distention after 48 h [6]. Hagiwara et al attempted two to three sessions of balloon dilations on all 41 patients, 12% (5/41) of whom failed to improve [11]. Balloon dilation of stenotic segments of the gastrointestinal tract has proved to be a convenient and less invasive treatment method. However, the majority of the patients require multiple sessions for the resolution of symptoms and recurrence is observed in about 18% of patients [3,22].

All details regarding stricture etiology, location, criteria, characteristics, time interval to develop and interventions performed prior to consideration for EECI therapy have been summarized in Table 2.

Procedure details

Anesthesia and bowel preparation

Only four studies reported the type of anesthesia used for the procedure. Osera et al reported using conscious sedation via 2-3 mg of IV midazolam and analgesia via 35 mg of IV pethidine [8]. Bravi et al also used 5-10 mg of IV diazepam or 2.5-5 mg of IV midazolam for conscious sedation in 53 of the 60 patients included [9]. The remainder (7/60) opted out of receiving any conscious sedation for the procedure. No patient discomfort or pain was reported by either group of patients [9]. Two prospective studies by Truong et al and Schubert et al also utilized conscious sedation and/or analgesia [12,13]. The former used 5-10 mg of IV diazepam with 40 mg of IV butyl-scopolamine and the latter used 4-10 mg of IV midazolam [12,13]. No complications as a result of conscious sedation were reported in the studies detailed above.

With regard to bowel preparation, investigators used a regimen of liquid diet, oral laxatives and cleansing enemas to achieve a quality of bowel preparation that would allow adequate visualization for the therapy [9,10,12,13].

Technical details

All studies included in the review utilized the EECI technique, either alone or in combination with another modality. Three studies described the isolated use of EECI technique for stricture [4,7,9]. Wallstabe et al incised the stricture at 3 points using an ERCP sphincterotome and a precut knife [4]. Case reports by Kwon et al described incisions based on the stricture length and caliber determined either endoscopically or radiologically [7]. The length and depth of the incision were gauged by the endoscopist and never crossed the muscular layer. The electrocautery therapy lasted for about 5-10 min. An insulated tip (IT) knife and a bimodal electrocautery were used along with a 12 mm colonoscope [7]. A similar approach was used in the case series by Bravi et al,

Author/ Year/Location	Number of subject	Number of Stricture etiology subject	Details of anastomotic dosure	Time interval for stricture to be diagnosed from index surgery	Stricture definition and characteristics	Stricture location	Previous interventions done to treat the stricture
Wallstabe et al 2015 Germany [4]		Post-surgical- proctocolectomy with ileal- pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) for ulcerative colitis complicated with anastomotic leak- 1/1	DNA	10 weeks (wks)	Digital palpation and Douchoscopy Eibrotic tissue without de novo inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) on biopsy	IPAA site	6 balloon dilations over 10 weeks, followed by second series of balloon dilations, triamcinolone injections, and transanal advancement flap anoplasty
Kawaguti <i>et al.</i> 2015 Brazil [5]	-	Post-surgical resection of rectal adenocarcinoma with temporary ileostomy, followed by colorectal anastomosis- 1/1	DNA	DNA	DNA	Colorectal anastomosis	None
Dieruf <i>et al</i> 2001 USA [6]	п	Post-surgical for sigmoid volvulus- 1/1	DNA	12 years	Inability to pass upper endoscope	Anastomotic site Distance from anal verge: com	1. BD to 18 mm, using standard technique and inflation of 45 lbs per sq inch pressure
Kwon <i>et al</i> 2014 Korea [7]	7	Post-surgical- low anterior resection (LAR) for rectosigmoid colon cancer- 1/2 Post-surgical- LAR for rectal cancer- 1/2	DNA	7-8 months (m) 8 m	1. Inability to pass sigmoidoscope 2. CT scan - less than 1 cm length of stenosis without any tumor recurrence Inability to pass colonoscope but able to pass gastroduodenoscope	Colorectal anastomosis	None
Osera <i>et al</i> 2014 Japan [8]	7	Post-surgical- Intersphincteric resection (ISR) for lower rectal cancer- 4/7 Post-surgical- LAR for lower rectal cancer- 3/7	DNA	Median: 11 m Range: 5-44 m	1. Inability to pass 9.2 mm endoscope or finger 2. Refractory anastomotic stricture: Severe stricture not relieved after two sessions of bougie or balloon dilatation (BD)	1. Lower rectal anastomotic site 2. Median distance from anal verge: 4.5 cm (Range: 3.5-9.0)	Balloon or Bougie dilatation more than twice

Table 2 Stricture characteristics for each study

(Contd...)

Table 2 (Continued)							
Author/ Year/Location	Number of subject	Number of Stricture etiology subject	Details of anastomotic closure	Time interval for stricture to be diagnosed from index surgery	Stricture definition and characteristics	Stricture location	Previous interventions done to treat the stricture
Bravi et al 2015 Italy [9]	06	Post-surgical- colon or rectal cancer- 54/60 Post-surgical- sigmoid diverticulitis- 2/60 Post-surgical- anterior rectal resection for ovarian cancer- 2/60 Post-surgical- prophylactic protocolectomy for familial adenomatous polyposis- 2/60	Circular stapling Median- 7.3 m device- 38/60 Range: 1.3-60.7 Suture-hand anastomosis- 2/60	Median- 7.3 m Range: 1.3-60.7 m	1. Inability to pass 13 mm standard colonoscope 2. Annular stricture with craniocaudal extension- < 3 mm	1. Colorectal anastomotic site- 37/60 a) End to end- 35/37 b) End to side- 2/37 c. Colocolic anastomotic site- 17/60 a) End to end- 12/17 b) End to side- 2/17 c) Side to side- 3/17 3. Ileorectal anastomotic site- 4/60 a) End to end- 1/4 b) End to end- 1/4 5. Ileocolic- 1/60 6. Coloanal- 1/60	None
Brandimarte et al 2000 Italy [10]	39	Post-surgical- resection of colon Membranous and cancer- 30/39 central suturing Post-surgical- surgery for device- 39/39 sigmoid diverticulitis- 9/39	Membranous and central suturing with stapling device- 39/39	Range: 5-10 m	Diagnosis made by- a) Barium enema- 20/39 b) Colonoscopy- 19/39 (no criteria reported) 2. Stricture lumen size: 3 to 7 mm	1. Colorectal anastomotic sites 2. Distance form anal sphincter: 7-12 cm	None
Hagiwara <i>et al</i> 1999 Japan [11]	rv	Post-surgical- anterior resection 1. End-end with diverting colostomy for rectal carcinoma- 2/5 auto suturing Post-surgical- anterior resection instrument without diverting colostomy for anastomosi rectal carcinoma- 3/5	1. End-end anastomosis with auto suturing instrument- 3/5 2. Suture-hand anastomosis- 2/5	Range: 3-12 m	Colonofiberoscope- stricture criteria not reported. 2. Barium enema- Tubular stricture with major leakage at anastomosis, length between 3-4 cm	1. Anastomotic site 2. Distance from lower end of rectum: 5-10 cm	1. 2-3 BD
Truong <i>et al</i> 1997 Germany [12]	36	Post-surgical- right and left 1. Stapling hemicolectomy, sigmoid device- 24/36 resection, LAR of rectum- 36/36 2. Suture-hand anastomosis- 1.	1. Stapling device- 24/36 2. Suture-hand anastomosis- 12/36	Range: 3-12 m	1. Stricture criteria not reported 2. Three grades of stenosis- (a) Grade 1: Diameter 10-20 mm, occasional abdominal cramps- 21/36 (b) Grade 2: Diameter 5-9 mm, frequent abdominal cramps- 10/36 (c) Grade 3: Diameter<5 mm, clinical signs of bowel obstruction- 5/36	a) End to end- 36/36	None

Table 2 (Continued)							
Author/ Year/Location	Number o	Number of Stricture etiology subject	Details of anastomotic closure	Time interval for stricture to be diagnosed from index surgery	Time interval for Stricture definition and stricture to be characteristics diagnosed from index surgery	Stricture location	Previous interventions done to treat the stricture
Schubert et al 2003 Germany [13]	15	Post-surgical- gastrectomy and esophagojejunostomy, esophagoetomy and mediastinal esophagogastrostomy, esophagoetomy and coloninterposition- 15/49	Circular stapling Range: 4-8 m device- 41/49	Range: 4-8 m	1. Endoscopy and barium swallow 1. Anastomotic site or enema: Stricture causing symptoms and/or diameter<10 mm or at high risk for imminent ileus 2. Mean length- 6.1 mm (Range: 3-10) 3. Mean diameter- 5.2 mm (Range: 3-8)	1. Anastomotic site	None
	34	Post-surgical- LAR, left hemicolectomy, subtotal colectomy and ileorectostomy- 34/49		Range: 4-8 m		1. Anastomotic site 2. Distance from anal sphincter: 5-16 cm	

where two expert endoscopists performed the technique, making at least four incisions with a precut sphincterotome [9].

Three studies described using an endoscopic electrocautery RIC technique for stricture management. This is essentially same as EECI, but also involves resection of mucosal tissue in between the incisions [5,8,10]. Kawaguti *et al* and Osera *et al* both described making radial incisions with excision of the tissue in between the incisions along the lumen with an IT knife. The former took 12 min for the case and the latter reported a median duration of 18 min for the procedure [5,8]. In the retrospective case series by Osera *et al*, the majority of patients underwent a single inpatient procedure, with the median length of hospital stay being 3 days. The prospective study by Brandimarte *et al* utilized sphincterotome and bimodal electrocautery to make six radial incisions, the length and depth of which were operator dependent [10].

EECI with adjunctive corticosteroid injection was performed by Dieruf $et\ al\ [6]$. A 5-Fr needle knife was used to make incisions in four quadrants, with each cut being 5-8 mm in depth, resulting in a 20 mm luminal diameter. This was followed by injecting 7-10 mg of triamcinolone at each incision site using a sclerotherapy needle, up to a total of 35 mg.

EECI in combination with balloon dilation was described in two prospective studies [11,12]. Hagiwara et al used an endoscopic diathermic knife and a balloon dilator [11]. Two to three shallow radial incisions were made using repeated, short bursts in the stricture scar. A flexible tipped guide wire was then inserted, over which a balloon dilator was positioned at the stricture under fluoroscopy. Water-soluble contrast medium was used to inflate the balloon, which was then pulled out to have the stricture aligned in the middle. The balloon was left for 15-20 min and resulted in loosening of the scar. Of the five patients in this study, two who had a diverting colostomy underwent two sessions a week for two weeks and the remaining three patients without a colostomy had one treatment session only. In another prospective study by Troung et al, a similar technique of hydraulic balloon dilatation was performed after radial incisions were made [12]. The type of incision was dependent on whether the stenosis was central or eccentric. A higher number of consecutive dilatations were needed for a higher grade of stenosis.

Subjects enrolled in the prospective study by Schubert *et al* underwent incisions by a polypectomy snare, followed by reduction of the scar tissue in between the incisions by APC [13]. No more than one therapeutic session was needed for all subjects.

Details of the instruments used and techniques performed in each study have been summarized in Table 3.

Outcome

Outcomes were broadly classified based on the alleviation of symptoms and/or endoscopic evidence of absence of restenosis. Immediate evidence of a successful technique was described as ability to pass the endoscope through the stenosis site [9,10,13]. In subjects experiencing symptoms of obstruction as a result of

(Contd...) sessions: 16 for 7 subjects stay-3 days (Range: 2-5) 1. Total Number of RIC Additional findings (b) Outpatient: 2/16 b) Two session- 1/7 c) Four session- 1/7 (a) Inpatient: 14/16 a) One session- 4/7 3. Median hospital d) Six session- 1/7 2. Setting of RIC: None None None None 1. Incision- Radially with excision of scar tissue in an arc from the incision along 1. Incisions- Radially with resection of length and caliber- verified by CT and (b) Depth not deeper than muscular 3. Injected 7-10 mg (total of 35 mg) (a) In 4 quadrants with 30 Jenergy incision with sclerotherapy needle endoscopist according to stricture triamcinolone at the base of each (a) Length and depth gauged by Estimated residual luminal 2. Median duration- 18 min 1. Incision- At 3 locations tissue between incisions (b) Depth of cut 5-8 mm 2. Duration-5-10 min 2. Duration-12 min **Fechnique details** diameter 20 mm (Range: 7-34) colonoscopy 1. Incision: 1. Incisionthe lumen layer 2. 5F needle knife (Wilson-Cook Medical, Inc., 4. Bimodal electrocautery, ERBE (300D; ERBE Optical Co, Tokyo, Japan) + 3.2 mm operative 1.12 mm colonoscope (CV-260 SC; Olympus Elektromedizin, Tübingen, Germany) unit in Elektromedizin GmbH, Tübingen, Germany) 2. IT- knife (KD-612U; Olympus) + 1.7 mm 2. Insulated- tip IT knife (Olympus Medical 1. 9.2 mm endoscope (GIF Q260; Olympus 1. Insulated- tip knife (KD611L; Olympus, 2. Electrosurgical ERBE VIO (ERBE Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) unit with endocut Q mode 1. ERCP sphincterotome 1. Standard colonoscope 3. Sclerotherapy needle Winston-Salem, NC) Instruments used 3.3.5 mm needle endocut mode 2. Precut knife Tokyo, Japan) diameter tip Systems) Table 3 Instruments and technical details for intervention in each study electrocautery electrocautery electrocautery electrocautery cutting (RIC) electrocautery corticosteroid incision with Endoscopic incision and Endoscopic Endoscopic Endoscopic intervention Endoscopic adjunctive injection incision incision Type of radial subjects Number 7 ^ Case series Case series report report report Study Type Case Case Case Kawaguti et al. 2015 Wallstabe et al 2015 Dieruf et al 2001 Kwon et al 2014 Osera et al 2014 Year/Location Germany [4] Japan [8] Brazil [5] Korea [7] USA [6] Author/

(Contd...)

Table 3 (Continued)

Table 3 (Continued)						
Author/ Year/Location	Study Type	Number Type of of intervent subjects	Type of intervention	Instruments used	Technique details	Additional findings
Schubert et al 2003 Germany [13]	Prospective study	15	Endoscopic electrocautery incision followed by reduction of scar tissue with Argon plasma coagulation	1. 8 mm videogastroscope (Fujinon EJ 400HR, Omiya, Japan) or 12 mm videocolonoscope (Fujinon EC 400HM, Omiya, Japan) 2. Polypectomy snare 3. APC (ERBE Beamer II, ERBE, Tübingen, Germany)	I. Incision- With polypectomy snare a) Central stricture: 4 radial incisions b) Eccentric stricture: Several incisions, at the side of surplus scar tissue Depth of cut estimated with open jaws of biopsy forceps (8 mm) 2. APC to reduce scar tissue between incisions to achieve target lumen diameter of 2 cm	1. Number of treatment sessions- One for every subject
		34				

the anastomotic strictures, relief of symptoms like constipation, abdominal distension or abdominal pain was also considered a positive outcome measure for success of the technique. Serial follow ups were performed in all studies included in the review; they included evaluation of symptoms, digital palpation exams, endoscopic visualization and/or barium enema. The duration of follow up was variable, from a minimum of 8 months to a maximum of 12 years [7,9].

Success

All ten studies together had 186 subjects with lower gastrointestinal tract benign anastomotic stricture who underwent EECI, either alone or in combination with another treatment modality. Individually, 63 subjects underwent EECI alone [4,7,9]; 47 subjects underwent endoscopic electrocautery with RIC [5,8,10]; 41 subjects underwent combination therapy with EECI and balloon dilation [11,12]; 34 subjects had combination therapy with EECI and steroid injection [6]; and 1 subject had combination treatment with EECI and APC [13]. The overall success rate for early post procedure and on longterm follow up was 98.4% (183/186) and 93.0% (173/186), respectively. On long-term follow up, the success rate for EECI alone was 95.2% (60/63), for EECI with RIC it was 95.8% (45/47) and for combination treatment with EECI and balloon dilation it was 87.8% (36/41). Individual studies of combination treatment by EECI and steroid injection or APC reported success rates of 91.2% and 100%, respectively [6,13]. Six studies reported a 100% success rate on long-term study-specific follow up [4-7,10,11].

Of 186 total subjects, 14 had a refractory stricture and 172 were treatment-naïve. Among the subjects with a refractory stricture, the success rate both early post-procedure and over long-term follow up was 85.7% (12/14). Among treatment naïve subjects, the early post-procedure and long-term success rates were 99.4% (171/172) and 93.6% (161/172) respectively Figure 1 and 2 depicts EECI of a benign colon stricture.

Failure

EECI, alone or in combination with another treatment modality, failed to achieve stricture dilation in 1.6% (3/186) of the total population [4-13]. All studies except two reported a failure rate of 0% in achieving post-intervention stricture dilation [4-13]. Osera et al reported that 2 of 7 subjects (28.6%) failed to achieve stricture dilation, despite 4 and 6 sessions of endoscopic electrocautery with RIC, respectively [8]. In another study, the operator was unable to perform EECI and balloon dilation in 1 of 36 subjects (2.8%), because of a lack of epithelialization of the stricture that increased the risk of perforation [12].

Stricture recurrence

Restenosis or recurrence of anastomotic stricture was identified as a result of development of symptoms and/or AQ1

AQ1

Figure 1 Colon stricture (3 cm long, benign, non inflammatory)



Figure 2 Post-endoscopic electrocautery incision of colon stricture

identification during follow-up endoscopic procedures. Bravi et al reported a 5% (3/60) recurrence rate [9]. This was noted in three asymptomatic subjects; two were successfully treated with a single electrocautery dilatation and one was treated with a single session of Savary-Gilliard bougie dilation. Notably, in one of the subjects the primary anastomotic closure was performed using a circular stapling device. Truong et al had a stricture recurrence rate of 14.3% (5/35) [12]. Two patients who initially had a grade II stenosis and one patient with a grade III primary stenosis had a recurrent grade I restenosis; all were treated with a single balloon dilatation. Two patients with primary grade III stenosis were found to have grade II restenosis, which required two consecutive balloon dilation procedures with no further recurrences on follow up. Schubert et al had a recurrence rate of 8.8% (3/34) for lower gastrointestinal tract strictures [13]. All subjects required a mean number of 3.5 endoscopic sessions for treatment.

The stricture recurrence rate for subjects undergoing EECI alone was 4.8% (3/63), for endoscopic electrocautery with RIC it was 0% (0/45) and for combination therapy with EECI and balloon dilatation it was 12.5% (5/40). In our opinion, balloon dilatation in combination with EECI is likely to cause more edema, which disrupts the healing of the incision site and leads to a higher recurrence rate. Overall, the stricture recurrence rate for the cohort was 6.0% (11/183). The recurrence rate demonstrated in our cohort was much lower than that reported for balloon dilation alone (18%) [3].

Complications

In comparison with standard treatment procedures for anastomotic strictures, such as balloon dilation, endoscopic incision techniques have been shown to have lower rates of perforation. In our review, eight studies reported a complication rate of 0% [4-11]. Of a total 186 subjects, only 7 (3.8%) reported abdominal pain post-procedure. No other complication (bleeding, infection or perforation) was reported [4-13]. Schubert $et\ al$ described 6 subjects (12.3%) reporting some transitory abdominal pain [13]. Subjects were monitored for one day and discharged without any events, requiring no other intervention. Local abdominal pain was also described in 1 patient (2.8%) by Truong $et\ al\ [12]$. It was attributed to the finding of a post-dilatation anastomotic ulcer, which healed with conservative management.

Concluding remarks

The incidence of benign colorectal anastomotic strictures is on the rise. The current standard for managing such strictures is primarily endoscopic balloon dilation therapy. The major pitfall of this modality is its high stricture recurrence rate and risk of perforation. The EECI technique, either alone or in combination with alternative treatment modalities such as steroid injection, balloon dilation or APC, is a promising new tool to treat both treatment-naïve and refractory strictures. In our review, the overall success rate for EECIbased interventions for benign lower gastrointestinal tract strictures was 98.4%, with a stricture recurrence rate of 6.0% and a composite complication rate of 3.8%. The high success rate, lower stricture recurrence rate and lower incidence of complications in comparison to endoscopic balloon dilatation therapy make EECI the preferred option for short noninflammatory strictures. Head-to-head randomized controlled trials comparing EECI to endoscopic balloon dilation therapy are awaited before it can be considered as the standard therapy for the management of benign colorectal strictures.

References

- Luchtefeld MA, Milsom JW, Senagore A, Surrell JA, Mazier WP. Colorectal anastomotic stenosis. Results of a survey of the ASCRS membership. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1989;32:733-736.
- Polese L, Vecchiato M, Frigo AC, et al. Risk factors for colorectal anastomotic stenosis and their impact on quality of life: what are the lessons to learn? *Colorectal Dis* 2012;14:e124-e128.
- Araujo SE, Furtado Costa A. Efficacy and safety of endoscopic balloon dilation of benign anastomotic strictures after oncologic anterior rectal resection. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2008;18:565-568.
- Wallstabe I, Teich N. Successful endoscopic incision of pouchanal stricture in a patient with ulcerative colitis. *Tech Coloproctol* 2015;19:429-430.
- Kawaguti FS, da Costa Martins B, Nahas CS, et al. Endoscopic radial incision and cutting procedure for a colorectal anastomotic stricture. Gastrointest Endosc 2015;82:408-409.
- Dieruf LM, Prakash C. Endoscopic incision of a postoperative colonic stricture. Gastrointest Endosc 2001;53:522-524.
- Kwon JH, Han KH, Kim MH, et al. Two cases of electrocautery incision therapy using an insulated-tip knife for treatment of symptomatic benign short-segment colonic stenosis following

- colonic resection. Korean J Gastroenterol 2014;64:164-167.
- 8. Osera S, Ikematsu H, Odagaki T, et al. Efficacy and safety of endoscopic radial incision and cutting for benign severe anastomotic stricture after surgery for lower rectal cancer (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2015;81:770-773.
- 9. Bravi I, Ravizza D, Fiori G, et al. Endoscopic electrocautery dilation of benign anastomotic colonic strictures: a single-center experience. Surg Endosc 2016;30:229-232.
- 10. Brandimarte G, Tursi A, Gasbarrini G. Endoscopic treatment of benign anastomotic colorectal stenosis with electrocautery. Endoscopy 2000;32:461-463.
- 11. Hagiwara A, Sakakura C, Shirasu M, Torii T, Hirata Y, Yamagishi H. Sigmoidofiberscopic incision plus balloon dilatation for anastomotic cicatricial stricture after anterior resection of the rectum. World J Surg 1999;23:717-720.
- 12. Truong S, Willis S, Schumpelick V. Endoscopic therapy of benign anastomotic strictures of the colorectum by electroincision and balloon dilatation. Endoscopy 1997;29:845-849.
- 13. Schubert D, Kuhn R, Lippert H, Pross M. Endoscopic treatment of benign gastrointestinal anastomotic strictures using argon plasma coagulation in combination with diathermy. Surg Endosc 2003;17:1579-1582.
- 14. Beitler AL, Urschel JD. Comparison of stapled and hand-sewn esophagogastric anastomoses. Am J Surg 1998;175:337-340.

- 15. Petrin G, Ruol A, Battaglia G, et al. Anastomotic stenoses occurring after circular stapling in esophageal cancer surgery. Surg Endosc 2000;14:670-674.
- 16. MacRae HM, McLeod RS. Handsewn vs. stapled anastomoses in colon and rectal surgery: a meta-analysis. Dis Colon Rectum 1998;41:180-189.
- 17. Graffner H, Andersson L, Löwenhielm P, Walther B. The healing process of anastomoses of the colon. A comparative study using single, double-layer or stapled anastomosis. Dis Colon Rectum
- 18. Irvin TT, Goligher JC. Aetiology of disruption of intestinal anastomoses. Br J Surg 1973;60:461-464.
- 19. Pietropaolo V, Masoni L, Ferrara M, Montori A. Endoscopic dilation of colonic postoperative strictures. Surg Endosc 1990;4:26-30.
- 20. Choy PY, Bissett JP, Parry BR, Merrie AE. Stapled versus handsewn methods for ileocolic anastomosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(3):CD004320.
- 21. Simillis C, Purkayastha S, Yamamoto T, Strong SA, Darzi AW, Tekkis PP. A meta-analysis comparing conventional end-to-end anastomosis vs. other anastomotic configurations after resection in Crohn's disease. Dis Colon Rectum 2007;50:1674-1687.
- 22. Belverdere B, Frattaroli S, Crabone A, Viceconte G. Anastomotic strictures in colorectal surgery: treatment with endoscopic balloon dilation. G Chir 201;33:243-245.

Author Query

AQ1: Kindly cite figure 1 and 2 in text part